



PROPOSED RULE MAKING

CR-102 (June 2004)

(Implements RCW 34.05.320)

Do NOT use for expedited rule making

Agency: Washington State Department of Agriculture☒ **Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR 10-02-112 ; or**☐ **Expedited Rule Making--Proposed notice was filed as WSR _____; or**☐ **Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4).**☐ **Original Notice**☒ **Supplemental Notice to WSR 10-12-074**☐ **Continuance of WSR****Title of rule and other identifying information:** (Describe Subject) Chapter 16-30 WAC, Restricted feedlots and restricted holding facilities.**Hearing location(s):**

Natural Resources Building
1111 Washington St. SE
Second Floor, Conference Room 259
Olympia, WA 98504

Date: September 16, 2010Time: 1:00pm**Submit written comments to:**

Name: Teresa Norman

Address: PO Box 42560

Olympia, Washington 98504-2560

e-mail: WSDARulesComments@agr.wa.gov

Fax: (360)902-2092

Assistance for persons with disabilities: ContactWSDA Receptionist by September 7, 2010TTY (800) 833-6388 or 711**Date of intended adoption:** September 30, 2010

(Note: This is NOT the effective date)

Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including any changes in existing rules:

The department held hearings in Olympia and Ellensburg on April 29 and May 10, 2010 to accept testimony on proposed amendments to WAC 16-30, Restricted feedlots and restricted holding facilities. Based on testimony received the department has decided to make additional changes to the proposed language and conduct another hearing.

The department proposes to amend chapter 16-30 of the Washington Administrative Code to establish three types of restricted holding facilities. The first type of holding facility is one where imported animals are held in quarantine until they meet animal health import requirements. The second type of holding facility is a dry feed yard with no provision for grazing where cattle that have been imported into the state and are destined for slaughter only are confined for feeding. These cattle can only be moved to a federally inspected slaughter plant. The third type of holding facility is a holding facility for permanently quarantined animals.

Reasons supporting proposal: These changes are necessary to prevent the spread of disease in the state and to protect the public's health and welfare.

Statutory authority for adoption: Chapters 16.36 and 34.05 RCW**Statute being implemented:** Chapter 16.36 RCW**Is rule necessary because of a:**

Federal Law?

☐ Yes ☒ No

Federal Court Decision?

☐ Yes ☒ No

State Court Decision?

☐ Yes ☒ No

If yes, CITATION:

DATE

June 23, 2010

NAME (type or print)

Leonard E. Eldridge

SIGNATURE**TITLE**

State Veterinarian

CODE REVISER USE ONLY

OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER
STATE OF WASHINGTON
FILED

DATE: June 23, 2010**TIME: 8:43 AM****WSR 10-13-162**

(COMPLETE REVERSE SIDE)

Agency comments or recommendations, if any, as to statutory language, implementation, enforcement, and fiscal matters:

None.

Name of proponent: (person or organization) Washington State Department of Agriculture

- ☐ Private
☐ Public
☒ Governmental

Name of agency personnel responsible for:

Name	Office Location	
Drafting..... Paul Kohrs, DVM	Olympia	(360) 902-1835
Implementation....Paul Kohrs, DVM	Olympia	(360) 902-1835
Enforcement.....Leonard Eldridge, DVM	Olympia	(360) 902-1878

Has a small business economic impact statement been prepared under chapter 19.85 RCW?

☒ Yes. Attach copy of small business economic impact statement.

A copy of the statement may be obtained by contacting:

Name: Dr. Paul Kohrs
Address: 1111 Washington Street SE
Olympia, WA 98504-2560
phone (360) 902-1835
fax (360) 902-2087
e-mail pkohrs@agr.wa.gov

☐ No. Explain why no statement was prepared.

RCW 19.85.030(1)(a) requires that an agency prepare a small business economic impact statement (SBEIS) for proposed rules that impose a more than minor cost on businesses in an industry. The department has analyzed the economic effects of the proposed revisions and has concluded that they are negligible costs on the regulated industry and, therefore, a formal SBEIS is not required.

Is a cost-benefit analysis required under RCW 34.05.328?

☐ Yes A preliminary cost-benefit analysis may be obtained by contacting:

Name:
Address:

phone () _____
fax () _____
e-mail _____

☒ No: Please explain: The Washington State Department of Agriculture is not a listed agency under RCW 34.05.328(5)(a)(i).